

Left and Right Peripheries: Asymmetries



Silvana Abalada, Inês Duarte & Ana Lúcia Santos

Centro de Linguística da Universidade de Lisboa/Faculdade de Letras da Universidade de Lisboa silvanaabalada@gmail.com, iduarte@letras.ulisboa.pt, als@letras.ulisboa.pt

[Adult, file tom-1-7-14]

[Adult, file tom-2-8-9]

Goal

.To discuss the syntactic status of right-peripheral material in European Portuguese (EP).

Problem

Structures with right-peripheral material in EP

(1) tem um cão # o pai.

has a dog the father

'He has a dog, the father.'

(2) aprendeste onde # a canção do peixinho? learned._{2stSING} where the song of+the little+fish

'Where did you learn it, the little fish song?'

Analyses:

Movement analysis (Villalba, 1996, 1998, 1999, 2000): clitic-right dislocations in Catalan are analysed as a left dislocation to a lower Topic position. In this split-topic analysis, the dislocated element moves to a Topic position immediately dominating the vP – IntTopP –, followed by movement of the remnant to a low Spec, FocP.

Merge analysis (De Cat, 2002, 2007): (clitic-)right dislocations in Spoken French do not involve movement. The dislocated elements are merged by adjunction to a Discourse Projection with root properties.

Parenthetical Coordination+Move+Ellipsis analysis (de Vries, 2007, 2009a/b, 2013; Ott & de Vries, 2012, in preparation): right-peripheral constituents in Dutch and German are instances of parenthetical specifying coordination. Right-peripheral material is derived in biclausal structures, the peripheral constituent being a remnant of ellipsis (in the sense of Merchant, 2001, 2004) in CP, after A'-movement of the dislocated element to the left periphery of the coordinated clause.

Data

Spontaneous Data

Data: Manual annotation of a sample of spontaneous adult (child-directed) speech in a corpus of child and child-directed speech of EP (Santos, 2006; Santos et al., 2014): 5 adults, total of 29 398 utterances.

Results:

- Relevant frequency of structures with right-peripheral material (N = 190) and with left-peripheral material (N = 198);
- Higher frequency of structures with right-peripheral subjects (N = 145) than with topicalized direct objects (left periphery) (N = 30);
 - Absence of clitic-right dislocations and of clitic-left dislocations.

Experimental Data (Abalada, 2011)

Task: Truth Value Judgment Task (Crain & Thornton, 1999)

- Topicalization of Direct Object (OSV);
- Structures with Post-focal Subjects on the Right Periphery (VO#S).

Subjects:

- 41 monolingual EP preschool children (between 3;5 and 6;3, mean: 5;1);
- 30 monolingual EP adults with no background in linguistics.

Results: Asymmetries between the comprehension of left- and right-peripheral material: preschool children and adults have a better performance in the comprehension of post-focal subjects on the right periphery than in the comprehension of topicalized direct objects on the left periphery.

Discussion: Right Periphery

A: Problems for a Movement Analysis (Villalba, 1996, 1998, 1999, 2000)

- The fact that both right- and left-peripheral material are derived by movement does not provide an explanation for the asymmetries found between the production and comprehension of right- and left-peripheral material.
- The possibility of *wh*-questions with an in situ *wh*-element preceding a rightperipheral constituent (cf. (2) above) is a problem for a movement analysis to a lower Topic position: the wh-element and the remnant vP compete for the same landing site: low Spec, FocP.
- The possibility of structures with right-peripheral material that could not have been extracted is evidence against an A'-movement analysis: EP does not license (wh-)subextraction.
 - (3) a. para não cair o sumo,, não é # do pêssego?

for not fall the juice not is of peach

- 'In order for the peach juice not to fall, isn't it?'
- b. *para, do pêssego, não cair o sumo, não é? b'. *do pêssego, para não cair o sumo, não é?

[Adult, file tom-2-6-6]

- The fact that non-Pro-Drop Languages do not allow gap in structures with right-peripheral material, as opposed to what happens in structures with leftperipheral material, is evidence against a movement analysis: no A'-variable occurs on the sentence.
 - (4) a. *I spoke to about the war yesterday that guy who's always following us.
 - a'. I spoke to him about the war yesterday, that guy who's always following us.

[Ross, 1967: 238, (6.153)]

- b. That guy who's always following us, I spoke to about the war yesterday.
- b.' That guy who's always following us, I spoke to him about the war yesterday.

B: Problems for a Merge Analysis (De Cat, 2002, 2007)

- The occurrence of connectivity effects, such as Case matching, and the possibility of licensing negative polarity items in right-peripheral constituents are evidence that right-peripheral material cannot be derived by direct merge in the right periphery.
 - (5) Nunca disse isso nas aulas, pois não, a nenhum de vocês? never said that in+the classes TAG to any of you
 - 'I never said that to any of you in class, did I?'
- C: Parenthetical Coordination+Move+Ellipsis Analysis (de Vries, 2007, 2009a/b, 2013; Ott & de Vries, 2012, in preparation)

Problems for a Movement Analysis:

- Cf. section A.
- The possibility of licensing negative polarity items in right-peripheral constituents is evidence that right-peripheral material cannot be derived by A'movement: the negative polarity item must occur under the scope of negation.
 - (6) a. *A nenhum de vocês, nunca disse isso nas aulas, pois não?
 - to any of you never said that in+the classes TAG
 - 'I never said that to any of you in class, did I?'
 - b. Nunca disse isso nas aulas, pois não, a nenhum de vocês?

Arguments for Ellipsis:

- The occurrence of connectivity effects, such as Case matching, may be explained if we assume ellipsis for right-peripheral material: an XP on the right periphery has the same Case that it would have in a monoclausal sentence.
 - (7) a. [CP1 pro não arrumei a casa ontem,] [CP2 euNOM não arrumei a casa ontem]. not cleaned_{1stSING} the house yesterday I_{NOM} not cleaned_{1stSING} the house yesterday 'I didn't clean the house yesterday, myself.'
 - a'. Eu_{NOM} não arrumei a casa ontem.
 - b.*[CP1 pro não arrumei a casa ontem,] [CP2 (a) mimOBL não arrumei a casa ontem].
 - b'. *(A) Mim_{OBI} não arrumei a casa ontem.
- The occurrence of connectivity effects associated with the principles of the Binding Theory, namely the Principle C, may be explained if we assume ellipsis for right-peripheral material: a right-peripheral constituent cannot take a co-indexed DP as its antecedent just as in a monoclausal sentence.
 - (8) a. *[CP1 pro tem os livros da Maria,] [CP2 ela; tem os livros da Maria;].
 - has the books of+the Maria she, has the books of+the Maria,
 - 'She has the books of Maria.'
 - a'. *Ela; tem os livros da Maria;.
 - b. [CP1 pro tem os livros da Maria,] [ela; tem os livros da Maria,].
 - b'. Ela_i tem os livros da Maria_k.

Conclusions

Empirical evidence argues against a derivation of right-peripheral material in EP as an instance of A'-movement, thus suggesting that analyses resorting to movement either to a low Topic position or to the left periphery of a parenthetical coordinated CP are not on the right track.

On the contrary, a derivation involving ellipsis without movement seems to be empirically motivated in EP, although presenting the theoretical problem of having to assume that UG has the possibility of PF-deletion of nonconstituents.

Acknowledgements

The work developed by Silvana Abalada is part of her Ph.D. project, entitled Acquisition of the Left and Right Peripheries in European Portuguese, and it is supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia Grant FCT/SFRH/BD/80331/2011.

The work developed by Inês Duarte and Ana Lúcia Santos was done within the project Contrast and Parallelism in Speech (PTDC/CLE-LIN/120017/2010) funded by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia.

